# Human Ethology Newsletter

Editor: Robert M. Adams Department of Psychology, Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, KY 40475 USA (606) 622-1105, 622-1106

#### **VOLUME 4, ISSUE 8**

#### DECEMBER, 1985

Published by the International Society for Human Ethology

## **Officers of the Society**

#### President

Irenäus Eibl-Eibesfeldt Max-Planck-Institut, D-8131 Seewiesen, West Germany

#### Secretary

Gail Zivin Jefferson Medical College Philadelphia, PA, USA

#### Treasurer

Herman Dienske Primate Center Rijswijk, Netherlands

#### Membership Chair

Jay Feierman Vista Sandia Hospital Albuquerque, NM, USA

#### Human Nature Revisited

#### by John Ross

(The following is a response by John Ross to E. Hammerstein's comments in the previous issue of the Newsletter. This is a continuing reaction to Ian Vine's Forum commentary on human nature.)

I disagree with the sages who said, "He who **wants** to embrace too much — holds nothing." I also disagree with Eliezer Hammerstein's resistance to the temptation to add to the list of human characteristics (HEN 4/7:7) that Vine (4/4) and I (4/6) thought it interesting to consider. If we, who are attempting to study and discuss human ethology, are unwilling to try to embrace too much we will never have the understanding that we so desire.

Obviously Hammerstein is correct in asserting that human nature cannot be understood through a list, but through a hierarchy of interacting traits. But what are the traits that he wants to interact? If we do not write them down then we cannot let others know our thoughts. And let us define these traits so that we may discuss intelligently.

Take the trait of territoriality. Hammerstein writes that he has seen such occur in the kibutz children houses and that Koenig has shown that it is species typical. Godelier, in

## 1986 Meeting

Plans for the July, 1986 meeting in Tutzing, West Germany are proceeding on schedule. A large number of papers were submitted, and notifications of acceptance have been sent.

Details regarding the meeting are available in previous issues of the Newsletter, or directly from the Organizing Committee at the address of the President, above.

## **Membership Renewal**

If the date on your mailing label is earlier than 1986, it is time to renew your membership.

Report any errors, changes of address, etc. to the editor.

Human Ethology, (ed by von Cranach, Koppa, Lepenies and Ploog, 1979, Cambridge), defines a territory as land, water or airspace owned by a group — not an individual, which will be defended with weapons if necessary as the possessors of that territory need and use its resources. It seems to me that what is being said is that territory is essential for the individuals therein to maintain or increase their fitness. This is also the meaning of the word when we discuss lions defending a territory. It is necessary for them to do so to guard their food resources.

Is my house a territory? Or is it a property? A possession that I do not need to increase by fitness, but an item I have purchased. I do not believe that these two words, territory and property, have the same fitness enhancing value and they should be kept separated. In *Human Ethology* much of the discussion in the section on property and territoriality seems to me to discuss goods and privacy rather than territoriality as displayed by the lions. The children in the kibutz are also not like the lions but like the person in the library who sets off a space as theirs to insure their privacy.

Some time ago the word imprinting was introduced to the ethological literature and referred to what a young precocial bird does to its parents. The next thing I was reading was that human mothers imprint on their children so that they could save their altricial young in case of danger.

Continued on page 2

#### Continued from page 1

To me that was a definitional leap of faith which almost destroyed the initial use of the word. I believe that territoriality falls into the same problem area.

This all leads me to believe that people have a very strong tendency to gather as many possessions as possible, an indication of status which again reflects on an aspect of human nature. But I still find that I fall on the side of the argument that says people are a non-territorial species, although they certainly do a lot of marking of areas they wish to call their own, even if it is not directly fitness enhancing.

Let us keep the discussion going, through correction, not negation.

#### Report on the conference on

#### Contributions of Biobehavioral Research to the Social Sciences and Consequences for Law

#### in Munich, Germany, September 4-6, 1985

The conference, sponsored by the Gruter Institute for Law and Behavioral Research and chaired by Professor Manfred Rehbinder, University Zurich, Switzerland, brought together a number of scholars and scientists dedicated to research on the interface between the social and biological sciences. The goal of the conference was to answer some questions raised by the behavioral sciences which concern problems dealt with by the normative sciences. During the last few years the biologically based behavioral sciences, especially evolutionary biology, sociobiology and neurobiology, have addressed themselves to topics that have traditionally been within the realm of the normative sciences. One of the earliest forays into normative approaches by an ethologist was Wolfgang Wickler's book "The Biology of the Ten Commandments" ("Die Biologie der Zehn Gebote," 1971). Among legal scholars Margaret Gruter was first to publish on "The Relevance of Ethology for the Law" (Die Bedeutung der Verhaltenforschung für die Rechtswissenschaft" 1976). Both Wickler and Gruter were present at the conference which was attended by other legal and behavioral scientists as well as political scientists, anthropologists, social scientists and neurobiologists.

There was agreement to refer to the specifically legal aspects of normative problems within the biobehavioral sciences under the concept "Ethology of Law." It was suggested to use this concept similar to and interfacing with Sociology of Law and Anthropology of Law. In this perspective Ethology of Law could be seen as a continuum which encompasses the facts of law, the data concerning the social and cultural basis of human behavior and the latest insights into the biological basis of human behavior. The transitions between the three fields of research should be seen as fluid, interwoven and complementary.

This approach was evident in the presentations by the various participants. The two anthropologists addressed themselves to the development of law and morality, Leo Pospisil (Yale University USA) read a paper on "Law as an Empirically Based Operational Concept," Christian Vogel (University Gottingen, Germany) discussed "The Question of Biological Foundations of Morality." Among the legal

scholars. Reinhold Zippelius (University Erlangen, Germany) asked "Can the Behavioral Sciences answer questions posed by legal scholars?" Hagen Hof, (Volkswagenstiftung Hannover, Germany) presented his views on "Understanding the Legislation for Equal Treatment under the Law in Terms of Behavioral Theory." Michael Lehman (Max Planck Institut for Copy Right Law, München, Germany), spoke on "Evolution in Biology, Economics and Law." Wolfgang Fikentscher (University of München, and Max Planck Institut for Copy Right Law, München, Germany) pointed to the relevance of evolutionary foundations for legal development and legal thinking in his presentation on "Groups in an Ethological-Legal Perspective." A similar theme was discussed by Margaret Gruter (Gruter Institute for Law and Behavioral Research, Portola Valley, CA, USA) in her closing presentations which dealt with research plans for the future concerning "Biological Foundations of Group-Formation and Law'

Bruno S. Frey (Institute of Empirical Economic Research, University Zürich Switzerland) spoke on "The Behavior of Man: A View of the Modern Economy and Consequences for Law." The two political scientists, Fred Kort (University of Conneticut, USA) and Heiner Flohr (University of Düsseldorf, Germany) emphasized the importance of biobehavioral studies relating to their fields of research. Flohr asked "Why do we Suffer in our Contacts with Bureaucracy?" Kort discussed "Evolutionary Foundations of Civil Rights and Liberties."

Besides Wolfgang Wickler (Max Planck Institut für Verhaltensphysiologie, Seewiesen, Germany) who served as the principal discussant of the conference, Frans de Waal (University of Wisconsin, USA) represented the ethological approach to group behavior in his paper on "Constraints on Power in Primates." Franz Seitelberger (University Vienna, Austria), a neurobiologist, spoke on "Neurobiological Foundations and Human Freedom."

The Gruter Institute for Law and Behavioral Research will continue to sponsor conferences similar to the München Konferenz (the first one held in Germany with German as conference language) and the previously held Monterey Dunes Conferences. The next conference will again be at Monterey Dunes, Ca., and will focus on biological and legal aspects of affiliative behavior in the family group.

The proceedings of the First Monterey Dunes Conference (1981) edited by Margaret Gruter and Paul Bohannan were published as "Law Biology and Culture," a German translation edited by Margaret Gruter and Manfred Rehbinder was published by Duncker & Humblot, Berlin "Der Beitrag der Biologie zu Fragen von Recht und Ethik." The proceedings of the Second Monterey Dunes Conference (1984) edited by Margaret Gruter and Roger Masters will be published as "Ostracism: a social and biological phenomenon." Again a German translation edited by Margaret Gruter and Manfred Rehbinder will be published by Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.

## **Conference** Report

The section of Developmental Esychology of the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Psychologie (German Association of Psychologists) held its bi-annual meeting from September 22 to 25, 1985 at the University of Trier, under the auspices of Leo Montada.

Jochen Brandstädter (Trier) spoke on personal control of developmental processes, as a complementary approach besides stimulus and physical control. Klaus Grossmann (Regensburg) reported on various interdependencies of parental empathy and their child's play, exploratory and achievement behavior. Horst Nickel (Düsseldorf) summarized research trends in developmental psychology since 1970, emphasizing a decrease of behavioristic approaches, an increase of ecological and multicausal approaches, and economic difficulties with longitudinal studies.

Among the 16 workshop groups, the one on ecopsychology in child development (organized by Horst Nickel and Sepp Schindler, Salzburg) dealt with perinatal conditions, effects of various forms of group care, and residential conditions.

In addition, a variety of survey papers, research reports and posters were presented. Two examples from many noteworthy contributions: Ralf Briechle (Konstanz) found that adolescents, rated by peers and teachers as antisocial, perceived themselves as isolated from their parents. Gabriele Gloger-Tippelt (Heidelberg) investigated the effects of psychological everyday theories psychologists meet in their clients.

Wolfgang Edelstein (Berlin) called a meeting to discuss what developmental psychologists can contribute to the problems of war and peace. It was decided to establish a Special Interest Group which was joined by some 40 participants.

Trier is one of the oldest settlements in West Germany situated not far from the borders of Luxembourg and France. It is surrounded by vineyards and lovely villages on the adjacent hills. There are ancient buildings of various centuries and local style. The modern university was built as a campus university outside of town, somewhat isolated from social life.

Brighte Rollett (Wien), Werner Deutsch (Nijmegen) and Hans Wimmer (Salszburg) were elected representatives of the section, as successors of Klaus Grossmann (Regensburg). Friedrich Wilkening (Frankfurt) and Gabriele Gloger-Tippelt (Heidelberg).

(Thanks to Sigrid Hopf for this report.)

#### **Developmental Psychology Conference**

The Inaugural Conference on Developmental Psychology, which took place in Groningen, 1984, aimed to bring European developmentalists into closer contact with each other. It sought to provide a forum in which people could become more aware of work being carried out elsewhere in Europe and establish a basis for greater cooperative activity. The success of this first conference, which was arranged in close affiliation with the International Society for the Study of Behavioural Development, led to the decision to organize a second Conference.

This will take place in Rome from 10-13 September, 1986 under the chairmanship of Dr. Grazia Attili, Instituto di Psicologia del Consiglio Nazionale delle Riecerche, Roma. The facus of this conference will be upon specific

e

European perspectives which are relevant to the study of human development.

The Conference will consist of Invited Addresses, Symposia and Posters based on empirical, methodological and theoretical contributions **regarding any part of the life span.** Symposia, organized on the basis of two hour blocks of time, will include two co-conveners coming from two different countries, a chairperson, four/five speakers. Conveners may be chairperson and speakers too. Submissions for these should include a 100 word abstract for the symposium and a 100 word abstract for each paper. Poster submissions should include both a 100 word abstract and 500-word summary. Abstracts should be typed on A4 paper (see included format) and should be suitable for direct inclusion in the book of Conference abstracts.

The programme will include the following themes: DEVELOPMENT OF LANGUAGE AND COMMUNI-CATION (e.g., preverbal, verbal and non-verbal communication, artificial languages, bilingualism, oral and written language comprehension, etc.); PROGRESS IN THE STUDY OF PERCEPTIVE AND COGNITIVE DE-VELOPMENT, including neuropsychological and differential approach (e.g. spatial orientation, memory development, perception of time, face expression and recognition); INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS AND INTER-PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS (e.g., socio-affective development, studies on temperament, assessment of individual variability in interaction, etc.); DEVELOP-MENTAL PERSPECTIVES ON THE ONTOGENY AND PHYLOGENY OF BEHAVIOUR (e.g., comparative research and evolutionary approach, aggressive and prosocial behaviour, continuity and discontinuity in development, etc.); ATYPICAL PATTERNS OF DEVELOPMENT AND THEIR IMPLICATION (e.g., research on deaf, blind children, Downs syndrome, autism, gifted children, etc.); ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES ON DEVELOPMENT (e.g., influence of cultural and socioeconomic factors, technical transformations, child rearing and socialization processes).

Time and space permit 20 Symposia, 100 Posters; maximum number of participants is 300.

The closing date for all submissions will be 15 January, 1986. Information regarding registration fee and accommodations will be announced in November, 1985. Inquiries, submissions and all other correspondence should be addressed to:

Grazia ATTILI

Instituto di Psicologia del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

Via dei Monti Tiburtini n. 509 - 00157 ROMA (Italy) Tel. (0)6-4512041 (Monday-Tuesday-Wednesday 10-13 a.m.)

#### **More Meetings**

Animal Behavior Society: 8-13 June, 1986, Tucson, AZ, USA; 21-26 June, 1987, Williamstown, MA, USA.

American Society of Zoologists: 27-30 December, 1986, Nashville, TN; 27-30 December, 1987, New Orleans, LA.

Midwest Regional Animal Behavior Meeting: 18-20 April, 1986, M.t. Pleasant, Michigan.

## **Book Review**

Human Evolution: A Philosophical Anthropology by Mary Maxwell. London and Sydney: Croom Helm 1984, 374 pages.

#### **Reviewed** by Ian Vine

University of Bradford, England.

In principle, I believe that one should always welcome efforts to cope with the problems of bringing an interdisciplinary approach to topics in human behaviour. Maxwell's background in sociology, evolutionary biology and philosophy led me to hope that this was just the introductory text for the non-specialist that students concerned with human nature need. Its contents looked very wideranging, and a puff by E.O. Wilson on the cover promised that she even brings "recent advances in thinking about the evolution of mind and social behaviour to bear on central problems of philosophy." The introductory chapters confirmed that this is a very clear and readable text crammed with plenty of predominantly accurate and up-to-date information from the bio-social sciences. (One misprint is serious: on page 91 we read that the reptiles were dominant over the mammals between 200 and 70 thousand years ago!)

But my doubts began to grow, as I progressed through chapters on the evolution of life, brain and behaviour, mind, society, sexuality and the nuclear family, morality and sympathy, language, and finally culture. For one thing, it soon became clear that Wilson's approval was indicative of her enthusiasm for Lumsden-Wilson co-evolutionary theory. For another, the inevitable superficiality of seeking to present a balanced view of many controversial areas briefly is sometimes confounded with selective biases. (In the chapter on linguistic evolution and development there is no mention of Gordon Hewe's thesis about gestural origins of language, or of Roger Brown's work on its ontogenesis. Scepticism about chimpanzees' use of sign language is played down, while Harry Jerison's claim that language was needed for memory and perception more than enhanced communication is presented uncritically.)

Nevertheless, Maxwell's book contains a lot of useful information about human evolutionary biology, neurophysical underpinnings of our behavioural capacities and dispositions, and so on. The theme of 'life as an organizer of information' is a welcomed one, as is her expressed goal of showing that to understand the role of natural selection in shaping human nature, and even many cultural adaptations, is to gain access to a more secure way of grounding humanist beliefs and values like human dignity and autonomy. And it is true that the importance of self-awareness, rational thought, moral evaluation and the like are affirmed. But these topics, like many others in the book, are introduced in one short section, dropped, then sometimes reintroduced in some later section without much new being added. In this sense, the presentation of facts, theories, and interpretations is rather bitty, and often not adequately integrated with general themes. The feeling that the pieces of the pattern still have to be pulled tightly together unfortunately remains after reading the concluding chapter. More crucially, it sets up the suspicion that the promise of an integrated analysis, which does not deterministically reduce

emergent properties of mind and culture to 'lower-level' material processes, is not unfulfilled merely because programmatic claims remain only superficially supported by the biological information presented.

r 7

Despite Maxwell's periodic references to philosophers. and to ethical and epistemological theory, too many conceptual issues are skated over when relating mental phenomena to brain processes, values to natural affective dispositions, and so on. Just like Wilson, Maxwell seemingly remains philosophically naive to the end when it comes to appreciating the complex and perplexing difficulties of specifying the actual relations between consciousness, rational and creative thought, social persuasion or normative prescription, and the biological bases and functions of these. In fact her text could be dangerously misleading to students as it stands, for in the last resort it offers a distinctly mechanistic analysis of human nature, beneath its 'humanistic' gloss. It actually fails to leave room for some important features of our intersubjective construction and negotiation of meanings and values, and for how purposive activity based upon the internal dynamics of reasoning itself has been highly significant in the evolution of culture (and probably even the human brain). Reflective and critical selfawareness is formally acknowledged, but her broadly Wilsonian framework contains nothing which can really allow it any causal role. Chance, necessity, and natural selection seem to rule everything.

This book goes some way to explaining how it is that our human brain generates our distinctive mental capacities. And it suggests various ways in which 'epigenetic rules' may shape particular motivational impulses – although it often fails to stress how tentative the evidence still is. Yet it lacks the conceptual apparatus to spell out how, for instance, a gene-based disposition to sympathize with others "can and does inspire a belief in the dignity of all persons" (p. 236). No doubt the ideal of moral equality could not have emerged without sympathy evolving first. But even if that ideal promoted inclusive or at least cultural fitness we would not thereby have explained it biologically — nor have given it ethical justification.

It is surely the fact that human reason can deal with imagined worlds, contemplating and choosing ideas and values in a realm not limited by rigid biological impulses and constraints, that permits us to set up and to pursue behaviourally goals which are not predictable from the premises of biological science - however complex we may make these. Maxwell never quite makes this point, even if she comes closer than Wilson does. Thus the impression remains that the biological 'leash' restraining individual consciousness and shared cultural beliefs is a tight one. In my view it may look tight because while hominid brain structures were still evolving the behavioural products of mind did on balance enhance fitness. But this result was not deterministically guaranteed, no more than it is now in our own species. Taking emergent mental properties seriously must surely entail the admission that no natural science, with its premises relying upon fixed causal probabilities, will suffice to predict and explain intelligent actions fully. To claim more for human biology is to invite antagonism to its legitimate but limited explanatory utility.

## **Book Review**

Not in Our Genes: Biology, Ideology and Human Nature, R.C. Lewontin, S. Rose and L.J. Kamin, Pantheon, N.Y., 1984

#### Reviewed by John A. Ross,

St. Lawrence University, Canton, NY 13617

Not in Our Genes is one of those books that all who are interested in the general area of sociobiology must read, not because it is informative, but because it lets the reader know what the "other side" is writing, what the uninitiated is reading, and what may retard general understanding of the area.

The preface sets the stage. "Each of us has been engaged for much of this time (the past decade and a half) in research, writing, speaking, teaching, and public political activity in opposition to the oppressive forms in which determist ideology manifests itself. We share a commitment to the prospect of the creation of a more socially just – a socialist – society. And we recognize that a critical science is an integral part of the struggle to create that society, just as we also believe that the social function of much of today's science is to hinder the creation of that society by acting to preserve the interests of the dominant class, gender, and race" (pg. ix-x).

With that as the stage where do they then go? I assume that you did not miss the word "determinist" in the preceding paragraph. On the assumption that they are talking about humans most of us would refer to a predisposition. But not to be undone, they use the first chapter to state the position of the sociobiologist. "The reductionist and biological determinist proposition that we shall examine and criticize in the pages of this book are:

- Social phenomena are the sums of the behaviors of individuals.
- These behaviors can be treated as objects, that is, reified into properties located in the brain of particular individuals.
- The reified properties can be measured on some sort of scale so that individuals can be ranked according to the amounts they possess" (p. 7). And on it goes until the last

point at which time they indicate that the abnormality of the individual with a particular behavioral problem can be cured through eugenics, genetic engineering or a "magic bullet" that will make them be like the rest of the world.

The worst part is that they appear to believe it!

Further on they talk of "*The Core Dogma: The Core of the Mechanistic Program*," a program of their imagination, not of sociobiology.

An obvious example of the mechanistic viewpoint is I.Q. We are treated to a full disclosure of the Cyril Burt scandal. Hardly anything new. Then a study on separated identical twins reported by Shields in 1962. Not much about more tecent work. Then an argument against the concept of race, and against the idea that there may be dominance or any other type of difference between men and women. And for my final point a discussion of the "poor" work carried out by Kalimann between 1938 and 1942 on the heritability of schizophrenia, but once again no mention of recent work. And they do it all using the best propoganda techniques to raise an emotional reaction in the reader who will then know that the truth is there. If you look for the dispassionate science you will not find it, you will not even find a recent reference except to articles of their authorship.

And what about that socialist society they want to create? It never appears within the text. They do their best to shoot down the sociobiological theorist, but give us nothing in return except the passing idea that men and women are all the same, even if built slightly differently, and that any difference between one person and another is strictly learned and/or cultural. A book to be skimmed, but not to be chewed and digested.

## **Book Review**

## The Pygmy Chimpanzee: Evolutionary Biology and Behavior.

Randall L. Susman, Editor, Plenum Press, New York, 1984. ISBN 0-306-41595-X

#### Reviewed by James R. Anderson

Laboratoire de Psychophysiologie, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France.

With the publication of The Pygmy Chimpanzee, primatologists now have access to a single source of varied information on the structure and behavior of the least known of the living Pongidae. In recent years there has been no shortage of new volumes devoted to the biology of Pan troglodytes, Gorilla gorilla and Pongo pygmaeus. The 17 chapters of the present book go some way towards bringing the fourth great ape, Pan paniscus, more into the picture, even if there is clearly still a long way to go before the quality of information on the ecology and behaviour of P. paniscus approaches that available on the other members of the family. In the meantime, the existence of a number of interesting adaptations, identified both in earlier publications and in the present book, keeps the late-discovered, geographically restricted, pygmy chimpanzee high on the list of topics to follow in primatology.

After a short, first chapter recalling the formal discovery of the pygmy chimpanzee in the late 1920s, eight chapters amounting to just over half the text make up Part 1: Molecular Biology, Systematics, Morphology. Topics dealt with include blood group serology, immunology, dental morphology, body build and tissue composition, and skeletal morphology and allometric comparisons. Together these chapters confirm the species status of the pygmy chimpanzee, and place the P. troglodytes - P. paniscus divergence after the divergence of hominid, gorilla and chimpanzee lines from an earlier ancestor. Several of the contributors raise the issue of the usefulness of the pygmy chimpanzee as a model of early hominids. While there is no evidence of any special direct evolutionary link between hominids and pygmy chimpanzees, a number of morphological similarities make it clear that studies of P. paniscus will indeed contribute importantly to reconstructions of early hominid conditions.

Several contributors also refer to the lack of fossils that would clarify divergence among the African hominoids,

#### Page 6

Given this situation, comparisons not only between existing species but also between different subspecies or populations of a given species become very important for attempts at understanding evolutionary relationships. Thus, in some chapters data on different subspecies of *P. troglodytes* are presented separately when comparisons are made with *P. paniscus*, but this is not always the case. In a chapter on locomotor behaviour, Susman suggests that comparative studies might be most usefully carried out between *P. paniscus* and *P.t. schweinfurthii*. This is based on a number of similiarities between them, including body weight (the average difference is only about 10 kg in favour of *P.t. schweinfurthii*) and some skeletal parameters. The same reasoning could be applied to socio-ecological studies.

The need for behavioural data for interpreting structural adaptations is expressed several times, for example the relations between diet and dental morphology (Kinzey), locomotor behaviour and skeletal morphology and tissue composition (Jungers and Susman, Zihlman), and perhaps cranial morphology and social behaviour (Shea) have all to be clarified. The required behavioural studies (Part 2) are still at an early stage. There are chapters on feeding ecology, social interaction, locomotor behaviour and social organization, the data coming from two studysites (Wamba, where provisioning has been carried out, and the Lomako Forest). Such studies began only a decade or so ago. Visibility is poor, the subjects are shy, and the studies have not always been continuous, so the picture is only just starting to emerge. However, the data that are coming in are of considerable interest. Kano and Mulavwa, and Badrian and Malenky provide lists of food plants at these two sites, with the latter two authors discussing ecological factors that might lead to differences in diet and group sizes. Both chapters also compare data on P. paniscus and P. troglodytes. It is suggested that species differences in feeding and ranging activity, as well as in social organization, are related to differences in diversity of habitat. Such comparisons will be on much more solid ground once long-term data on ranging and activity budgets of identified pygmy chimpanzees become available.

Despite the lack of extensive observations comparable to those carried out on some populations of common chimpanzees, certain aspects of pygmy chimpanzees' behaviour arouse particular interest. One of those described in the book is the relatively high rate of plant food-sharing (Kuroda). Food-sharing in common chimpanzees mostly occurs during meat-eating episodes (which appear quite rare in P. paniscus) or between mothers and infants during feeding on 'difficult' plant foods. Aspects of sexual behaviour also differ between the two species of chimpanzees: notably, pygmy chimpanzees show a greater variety of copulatory positions, appear less constrained by the female's reproductive state, and employ sexual contacts (especially "genitogenital rubbing") in a variety of social contexts. Thompson-Handler, Malenky and Badrian discuss sexual behaviour in one chapter, and reiterate the need for long-term data.

The final chapter on behaviour, by Savage-Rumbaugh, presents informal observations of interactions involving an adult female-infant pair of pygmy chimpanzees in daily close contact with humans. The complexity of the social interactions described, and the impressive, spontaneous development of intentional communicative skills auger well for data to come from formal studies of social and cognitive abilities in these apes.

The present book is not the definitive one on the pygmy chimpanzee; work on this species is still at a much too early stage for that. But the reader, will come away eagerly awaiting the next generation of studies. Much depends on whether the threats to the species in its natural habitat can be dealt with. The gravity of this problem, and some possible steps which might be taken, are outlined in the final chapter Whatever the steps, they should be taken fast.

## **Book Review**

#### The human ethologist as primatologist

#### William T. Bailey

review of *The Human Primate*, Richard Passingham, W.H. Freeman and Co., 1982.

As man from a genealogical point of view belongs to the Catarhine or Old World stock, we must conclude, however much the conclusion may revolt our pride, that our early progenitors would have been properly thus designated. (Darwin, *The descent of man*)

Passingham's book fulfills the author's intention to make information on humans – as primates, available to individuals in a diversity of disciplines (and at varying levels of education) who have in common an interest in human phyletic relations and origins.

The book is organized around four topics: other primates, anatomy, abilities, and social order. There is an unfortunate tendency for some to think that ethologicallyappropriate study of humans can only be done using the methods and subjects which are generally appropriate to nonhumans also. How limiting! If we only conduct studies of human non-verbal behavior and ignore culture and language, how can we possibly attain a comprehensive knowledge of humanity? (See also Blurton Jones, 1982). Therefore, the material presented under "abilities" is particularly noteworthy. In addition to the to-be-expected evidence on "intelligence" and "language," Passingham has included sections on "technology" and "culture." One of the exciting things about this book is the detail the author goes to in demonstrating how very typical humans are as primaters, and yet how unique, as a species, we are.

Many outside of ethology apparently believe that ethology is merely a methodology "naturalistic" observation (see Tunnell, 1977 for a discussion of "natural"). Those of us who were (intellectually) raised on Tinbergen's "aims and methods of ethology" (1963) know of course that ethology is the study of behavior, interested in distal and proximal history and cause — basing these on a complete description of the behavior in question. (Cf. Eibl-Eibeson's recent comments in these pages.) Those thus oriented will be happy to  $k\pi$ ow that Passingham has judiciously included evidence from experimental as well as field studies.

This well written account of the *human* primate will have a two-fold interest to human ethologists. Many have come to ethology (relatively) late in their careers as students

of human behavior — certainly past the time where one typically engages in formal study of various topics (i.e., graduate school). That being so, many will have come to study the human primate with little, if any (usually no), training in primatology per se. For them, this text will serve as an excellent introduction to primates - human and otherwise. Secondly, and of particular interest to those who are teaching courses in human ethology, this book will serve as an excellent primary text — though some will perhaps prefer to use it as a secondary (but required) reading. The fourty-seven pages of references are in themselves a gold mine of information. Studies by a number of investigators familiar to members are prominantly featured here (e.g., Eibl-Eibesfeldt, Hinde, Lorenz, McGrew, Tinbergen). (Human ethologists will obviously want to familiarize their students with the behavior of a number of non-primate species but there are many sources of these elsewhere.) The text is liberally illustrated with well executed photos and drawings.

- Blurton Jones, N.G. (1982). Editorial: human ethology the study of people as if they could not talk? *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 2, 51-54.
- Tinbergen, N. (1963). On aims and methods of ethology. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie, 20, 410-433.
- Tunnell, G.B. (1977) Three dimensions of naturalness: an expanded definition of field research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 84, 426-437.

## Current Literature

Material for this section of the Newsletter should be sent directly to the editor. A sentence or two of summary would increase the value to readers.

#### Articles, Papers, Chapters

- Bielert, C., & Anderson, C.M. (1985). Baboon sexual swellings and male responses: a possible operational mammalian supernormal stimulus and response interaction. *International Journal of Primatology*, 6, 377-393.
- Burghardt, G.M. (1985). Animal awareness: Current perceptions and historical perspective. American Psychologist, 40, 905-919. (Univ. of Tennessee, Dept. of Psychology, Knoxville, TN 37996 USA).
- Campbell, A., Muncer, S., & Bibel, D. (1985). Taxonomies of aggressive behavior: A preliminary report. Aggressive Behavior, 11, 217-222. (Rutgers State Univ., School of Criminal Justice, 15 Washington St., Newark, NJ 07102, USA)
- Camras, L.A., & Allison, K. (1985). Childrens understanding of emotional facial expressions and verbal labels. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 2,* 84-94. (De Paul University, Dept. of Psychology, 2323 N. Seminary Ave., Chicago, IL 60614, USA)
- Cernoch, J.M., & Porter, R.H. (1985). Recognition of maternal axillary odors by infants. *Child Development*, 56, 1593-1598. (Breast-feeding infants recognize their mothers' axillary odors, but not their fathers'.

Bottle-feeding infants do not recognize the odor of either parent.)

- Chiarelli, B., & Massa, E.R. (1985). The hereditary basis of mating preference: An investigation carried out on MZ and DZ twins. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 14, 379-384. (Univ. of Florence, Inst. of Anthropology, via Proconsolo 12, 1-50121 Florence, Italy).
- Colvin, J., & Tissier, G. (1985). Affiliation and reciprocity in sibling and peer relationships among free-ranging immature male rhesus monkeys. *Animal Behavior*, 33, 959-977.
- De Waal, F.M.B., & Luttrell, L.M. (1985). The formal hierarchy of Rhesus Macaques: an investigation of the bared-teeth display. *American Journal of Prima*tology, 9, 73-85.
- Deluty, R.H. (1985). Consistency of assertive, aggressive, and submissive behavior for children. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 49, 1054-1065. (Univ. of Maryland, Dept. of Psychology, Catonsville, MD 21228).
- Dovidio, J.F., & Ellyson, S.L. (1985). Patterns of visual dominance behavior in humans. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and non-verbal* behavior. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Eaton, S.B. & Konner, M. (1985). Paleolithic nutrition. New England Journal of Medicine, 312, 283-289.
- Edmonds, E.M., & Cahoon, D.D. (1984). Female clothes preference related to male sexual interest. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 22*, 171-173.
- Essock-Vitale, S.M., & McGuire, M.T. (1985). Women's lives viewed from an evolutionary perspective. II. Patterns of helping. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 6, 155-174.
- Essock-Vitale, S.M., & McGuire, M.T. (1985). Women's lives viewed from an evolutionary perspective. I. Sexual histories, reproductive success, and demographic characteristics of a random sample of American women. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 6, 137-154.
- Exline, R.V. (1985). Multichannel transmission of nonverbal behavior and the perception of powerfulmen: The presidential debates of 1976. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and nonverbal behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Gold, M., & Yanof, D.S. (1985). Mothers, daughters, and girlfriends. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 49, 654-659.
- Graubard, M. (1985). The biological foundation of culture. Journal of Social and Biological Structures, 8, 109-128. (Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455).
- Hailman, J.P. (1985). Ethology, zoosemiotic and sociobiology. American Zoologist, 25, 695-706.
- Hallpike, C.R. (1985). Social and biological evolution: 1. Darwinism and social evolution. Journal of Social and Biological Structures, 8, 129-146. (McMaster Univ., Dept. of Anthropology, 1280 Main St. W., Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L85 4L9).

- Harper, R.G. (1985). Power, dominance and nonverbal behavior: An overview. In S.L. Ellyson & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and nonverbal behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Harrigan, J.A., Oxman, T.E., & Rosenthal, R. (1985). Rapport expressed through nonverbal behavior. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 9, 95-110. (Univ. of Cincinnati, College of Medicine, Dept. of Family Med., Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA).
- Harris, L.J., & Fitzgerald, H.E. (1985). Lateral cradling preferences in men and women. Results from a photographic study. *Journal of General Psychology*, 112, 185-189.
- Hausfater, G., & Skoblick, B. (1985). Perimenstrual behavior changes among female yellow baboons: Some similarities to premenstrual syndrome (PMS) in women. American Journal of Primatology, 9, 165-172.
- Henley, N.M., & Harmon, S. (1985). The nonverbal semantics of power and gender: A perceptual study. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance,* and nonverbal behavior. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hiernaux, J. (1985). Educability: A field of research in ongoing human evolution. Journal of Human Evolution, 14, 371-374. (Univ. of Paris 07, Anthropol. Biol. Lab, Equipe Ecol Humaine, 2 PL Jussieu, F-75251 Paris 05, France).
- Hinde, R.A., & Barden, L.A. (1985). The evolution of the Teddy Bear. Animal Behaviour, 33, 1371-1372. (Data relevant to Lorenzian "baby characteristics.")
- Johnston, F.E., Cohen, S., & Beller, A. (1985). Body composition and temperature regulation in newborns. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 14, 341-345.
- Johnson, M.H., Bolhuis, J.J., & Horn, G. (1985). Interaction between acquired preferences and developing predispositions during imprinting. *Animal Behavior*, 33, 1000-1006.
- Keating, C.F. (1985). Human dominance signals: The primate in us. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and nonverbal behavior.* New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Lamb, M.E., Pleck, J.H., Charnov, E.L., & Levine, J.A. (1985). Paternal behavior in humans. *American Zoologist, 25*, 883-892. (One of 12 papers on paternal behavior in a variety of species.)
- Lewis, M., Sullivan, M.W., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (1985). Emotional behaviour during the learning of contingency in early infancy. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 3, 307-316.
- King, R.P., & Wesson, C.L. (1985). Adapting a portable cassette tape player for interval time-sampling recording. *Behavioral Engineering*, 9, 72-75. (C.L. Wesson, Bowling Green State Univ., Dept. of Special Education, Educ. Bldg., Bowling Green, OH 43403 USA).
- Mackey, W.F. (1985). The sociobiology of ethnolinguistic nucleation. *Politics and the Life Sciences*, 4, 19-13. (Univ. of Laval, Int. Ctr. Res. Bilingualism, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada G1K 7P4).

- Maleski, D.J. (1985). Sociobiology and the California Public Trust Doctrine: The new synthesis applied. *Natural Resources Journal*, 25, 429-466. (Georgia State Univ., College of Law, Atlanta, GA 30303 USA)
- Mandal, M.K., & Bhattacharya, B.B. (1985). Recognition of facial affect in depression. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 61, 13-14. (Banaras Hindu Univ., Psychology, Varanasi 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India).
- Martin, P., & Caro, T.M. (1985). On the functions of play and its role in behavioral development. In Rosenblatt, J.S., Beer, C., Busnel, M., & Slater, P.J.B. (Eds.). Advances in the study of behavior (Vol. 15). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Meaney, M.J., Steward, J., & Beatty, W.W. (1985). Sex differences in social play: The socialization of sex roles. In J.S. Rosenblatt, Beer, C., Busnel, M., & Slater, P.J.B. (Eds.), Advances in the study of behavior (Vol. 15). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Medicus, G. (1985). Evolutionaere Psychologie. In J.A. Ott,
  G.P. Wagner & F.M. Wuketits (Eds.) Evolution,
  Ordnung und Erkenntnis, 126-150. Berlin, Hamburg:
  Verlag Paul Parey. (Table with an idealized diagram of
  a hypothetical phylogentic tree of behavioral capabilities; an English translation of the diagram is available
  through the author: University Hospital, Anichstr.
  A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria.)
- Mercer, J., & McMurdy, C. (1985). A stereotyped following behavior in young children. *Journal of General Psychology*, 112, 261-265.
- Mitchell, G., & Maple, T.L. (1985). Dominance in nonhuman primates. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and non-verbal behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Neill, S.R. St. J. (1985). Rough-and-tumble and aggression in school children: serious play? *Animal Behavior*, 33, 1380-1382. (Some play fighting may resolve dominance conflicts while avoiding serious disruption.)
- Nuyen, A.T. (1985). Sociobiology, morality and feminism. *Human Studies*, 8, 169-182. (Univ. of Queensland, Division of External Studies, St. Lucia, QLD 4067, Australia).
- O'Brien, M., & Huston, A.C. (1985). Development of sextyped play behavior in toddlers. *Developmental Psychology*, 21, 866-871. (Univ. of Kansas, Dept. of Human Development, Lawrence, KS 66045 USA).
- Ohman, A., Dimberg, U., & Ost, L.G. (1985). Animal and social phobias: Biological constraints on learned fear responses. In Reiss, S., & Bootzin, R.R. (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in behavior therapy* (pp. 123-178). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Olivier, G., & Devigne, G. (1985). Family size, milieu, and intellectual development. *Journal of Human Evolution, 14, 375-378.* (31 Rue Dauphine, F-94100 St. Maur, France.)
- Patterson, M.L. (1985). Social influence and nonverbal exchange. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Davidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and nonverbal behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag.

- Raviola, E., & Wiesel, T.N. (1985). An animal model of myopia. New England Journal of Medicine, 312, 1609.
- Raviv, A., & Palgi, Y. (1985). The perception of socialenvironmental characteristics in kibbutz families with family-based and communal sleeping arrangements. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49, 376-385.
- Rushton, J.P. (1985). Differential K-theory: The sociobiology of individual and group differences. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 6, 441-452. (Univ. of Western Ontario, Dept. of Psychology, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5C2).
- Rushton, J.P., Russell, R.J.H., & Wells, P.A. (1984). Genetic similarity theory: Beyond kin selection. *Behavior Genetics*, 14, 179.
- Russell, R.J.H., Wells, P.A., & Rushton, J.P. (1985). Evidence for genetic similarity detection in human marriage. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 6, 183-188.
- Shatz, M. (1985). An Evolutionary perspective on plasticity in language development: a commentary. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 31, 211-221. (Ohio Univ., Dept. of Psy., Zanesville, OH 43701, USA).
- Shively, C. (1985). The evolution of dominance hierarchies in nonhuman primate society. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and nonverbal behavior*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Shively, C., & Smith, D.G. (1985). Social status and reproductive success of male Macaca fasicularis. American Journal of Primatology, 9, 129-135.
- Smith, P.K., & Lewis, K. (1985). Rough-and-tumble play fighting, and chasing in nursery school children. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 6, 175-182.
- Sincoff, J.B., Rosenthal, R. (1985). Content-masking methods as determinants of results of studies of nonverbal communication. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 9, 121. (Reprint: Rosenthal, R., Harvard Univ., Dept. of Psychology and Social Relations, William James Hall, 33 Kirkland St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA).
- Singer, B. (1985). A comparison of evolutionary and environmental theories of erotic response. I. Structural features. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 21, 229-257. (Univ. of Oregon, Dept. of Comp. & Information Sci., Eugene, OR 97403 USA).
- Vine, I. (1985). Inclusive fitness and self-system the roles of human nature and socio-cultural processes in intergroup discrimination. Paper to European Sociobiological Society meeting, University of Oxford, 5-6 January. (Interdisciplinary Human Studies, U. Bradford, Bradford, BD7 1DP, England).
- Vine, I. (1985). Political implications of sociobiology social Darwinist or socialist? Annual meeting of The British Association for the Advancement of Science, University of Strathclyde, 29th August 1985. (Interdisciplinary Human Studies, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, England). The core theories of sociobiology do not, as critics allege, support conservative political ideologies. When proper account is

taken of distinctive features of the human self-system, and of its susceptibility to social influence, human sociobiology can help to explain why capitalism undermines the ideal of maximizing human well-being.

- Waxer, P.H. (1985). Video ethology: Television as a data base for cross-cultural studies in nonverbal displays. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 9, 111-120. (York Univ., Dept. of Psychology, Rm. 120, Behavioral Science Bldg., 4700 Keele St., Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3).
- Weisfeld, G.E., & Linkey, H.E. (1985). Dominance displays as indicators of a social success motive. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance,* and non-verbal behavior. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Whissell, C.M. (1985). The role of the face in human emotion: 1st system or one of many. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 61, 3-12. (Laurentian Univ., Dept. of Psychology, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada P3E 2C6).
- Whitehurst, R.C., & Derlega, V.J. (1985). Influence of touch and preferences for control on visual behavior and subjective responses. In S.L. Ellyson, & J.F. Dovidio (Eds.), *Power, dominance, and non-verbal* behavior. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Wilson, A.C. (1985). The molecular basis of evolution. Scientific American, 253, (4), 164-173.
- Zelhart, P.F. (1985). Nonverbal communication between motor vehicle drivers: Waves in Kansas. *Psychological Record*, 35, 315-322. (Dept. of Psychology, East Texas State Univ., Commerce, TX 75428 USA).
- Zinober, B., & Martlew, M. (1985). Developmental changes in four types of gestures in relation to acts and vocalizations from 10 to 21 months. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 3, 293-306.

#### Books

- Balaban, M. (Ed.) (1984). Biological foundations and human nature. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Bretherton, I., & Waters, E. (Eds.) (1985). Growing points of attachment theory and research. Chicago, IL: Univ. Chicago Press. Contents: I. Bretherton, I. Attachment theory: Retrospect and prospect. II. Waters, E., & Deane, K.E. Defining and assessing individual differences in attachment relationships: Q-methodology and the organization of behavior in infancy and early childhood. III. Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. Security in infancy, childhood, and adulthood: A move to the level of representation. IV. Vaughn, B.E., Deane, K.E., & Waters, E. The impact of out-of-home care on child-mother attachment quality: Another look at some enduring questions. V. Dontas, C., Maratos, O., Fafoutis, M., & Karangelis, A. Early social development in institutionally reared Greek infants: Attachment and peer interaction. VI. Erickson, M.F., Sroufe, L.A., & Egeland, B. The relationship between quality of attachment and behavior problems in preschool in a high-risk sample. VII. Bates, J.E., Maslin, C.A., & Frankel, K.A. Attachment security, mother-child

interaction, and temperament as predictors of behavior-problem ratings at age three years. VIII. Schneider-Rosen, K., Braunwald, K.G., Carlson, V., & Ciccetti, D. Current perspectives in attachment theory: Illustration from the study of maltreated infants. IX. Ricks, M.H. The social transmission of parental behavoir: Attachment across generations. X. Grossmann, K., Grossmann, K.E., Spangler, G., Suess, G., & Unzner, L. Maternal sensitivity and newborns' orientation responses as related to quality of attachment in Northern Germany. XI. Sagi, A., Lamb, M.E., Lewkowicz, K.S., Shoham, R., Dvir, R., & Estes, D. Security of infant-mother-father, and -metapelet attachments among kibbutz-reared Israeli children. XII. Miyake, K., Chen, S., & Campos, J.J. Infant temperament, mother's mode of interaction, and attachment in Japan: An interim report.

- Blanck, P.D., Buck, R.W., & Rosenthal, R. (Eds.) (1984). Nonverbal communication in the clinical contest. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Boyd, R., & Richerson, P.J. (1985). Culture and the evolutionary process. Chicago: University Press. How do genetic, psychological, sociological, and cultural factors combine to change societies? Boyd and Richerson develop models to analyze how biology and culture interact, under the influence of evolutionary processes, to produce the diversity we see in human cultures. Using analytical methods developed by evolutionary biologists, they propose a theory of cultural evolution that is original, rigorous, and fair-minded.

Explicitly linking genetic and cultural evolution in a unified theoretical framework, the authors create mathematical models to express relationships between individual behavior and the large-scale, long-term behavior of societies. They investigate how cultural evolution is affected by variations in patterns of social learning; how learning, invention, and individual decisions create forces of cultural evolution; and how natural selection can act directly and indirectly on culturally transmitted information. Boyd and Richerson also address such controversial issues as the adequacy of sociobiologists' explanations of human behavior, the nature of large-scale cooperation in human societies, and the evolution of symbolic behavior. (Publisher's summary)

- Duncan, S., Jr., & Fiske, D.W. (1985). Interaction Structure and Strategy, Cambridge University Press. (Analysis of verbal and nonverbal interaction.)
- Plomin, R., & DeFries, J.C. (1985). Origins of individual differences in infancy: The Colorado adoption project. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Reynolds, V., Vine, I., Falger, V.S.E. (eds.) (1986) *The Sociobiology of Ethnocentrism,* Croom Helm, London & Sydney. (In press). (Based upon revisions of papers presented at the E.S.S. meeting cited above under Vine.)
- Trivers, R., Social Evolution. Reviewed by M. Bekoff, Evolution, 1985, 39, 1401, T.H. Clutton-Brock, Nature, 1984, 316, 397.

Wind, J. (Ed.) (1985). Essays in human sociobiology. London: Academic.

Wohlwill, J.F., & Vanviet, W. (Eds.) (1985). Habitats for children: The impacts of density. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.

### **BULLETIN BOARD**

#### Congress of the International Primatological Society

The deadline for abstracts for this meeting, to be held in Göttingen the week prior to the Human Ethology meeting, is February 15. Complete information is available from Hans-Jurg Kuhn, German Primate Center (DPZ), Kellnerweg 4, D-3400 Göttingen, FR Germany. So far, 28 symposium titles have been suggested, and several tours and social events are planned. The language of the meeting is English.

#### American Society of Primatologists

The next annual meeting of ASP will be June 28-July 6, 1986 at the University of Texas at Austin. Program Chair is Dr. Joyce Sirianni, Dept. of Anthropology, SUNY Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA 14261.

#### **Newsletter Submissions**

Yes, please send anything which might be of interest to ISHE members: announcements of meetings, comments relevant to human ethology, suggestions for Forum topics — conspicuous by their absence lately, sabbatical opportunities, employment opportunities, anything.

Suggestions for books to review, or reviews, should be sent to European Editors William McGrew (Dept. of Psychology, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA Scotland) or Ian Vine (Interdisciplinary Human Studies, University of Bradford, Bradford, West Yorkshire, BD7 1DP, England) or to American Editor William Bailey (Dept. of Psychology, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118).

Submissions in any legible format are acceptable.

#### **Newsletter Editor Wanted**

The current editor's term expires at the end of 1986. Please send nominations, or an indication of your own willingness to serve, to the current editor or to any officer. It's a fun job, stimulates interactions with a lot of very fine people, and requires little in the way of resources.

#### Membership

The Newsletter mailing list now numbers 280. There are 50 European members, 8 in Asia, 1 in Australia, 2 in South America, 24 in Canada, and 190 in the U.S.

Jay Feierman is Membership Chair; send him your ideas for expanding the Society (Vista Sandia Hospital, 501 Richfield Ave., Albuquerque, NM 87113). And be sure to copy and send the membership blank from last issue with your reprints and correspondence.

#### **ISHE Directory**

A long overdue membership directory should be completed and mailed within four weeks.

Continued on page 12

(Members: Please photocopy this application and send it to prospective members. A sample issue of the *Newsletter* is available on request. You may also wish to send a copy with each reprint you mail out.)

#### INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR HUMAN ETHOLOGY

#### Membership and Newsletter

The ISHF was formed with the goal of promoting ethological perspectives on the study of human behavior. It encourages empirical research that addresses the questions of individual development, environmental, ecological and social processes which elicit and support certain behavior patterns, the function and significance of behavior, and comparative and evolutionary problems. The Society has elected officers and a number of committees, publishes a quarterly Newsletter, collates an annual selection of human ethology abstracts, and meets annually, either independently or in conjunction with the Animal Behavior Society, the International Primatological Society or another major society.

Name	
Address	
Phone	-
Please list your discipline and research interests below (e.g., psychologist, socialization):	
	-
	-
Renewal New	

Please enclose \$10.00 U.S. (students \$5.00) for a calendar year membership and subscription to the quarterly *Human Ethology Newsletter*. You may also wish to recommend that your library subscribe. The library rate is \$20.00

International Society for Human Ethology Robert M. Adams Department of Psychology Eastern Kentucky University Richmond, KY 40475

(Please see that checks drawn on non-U.S. accounts are easily negotiable, i.e., have routing transit or account numbers on the bottom. Otherwise, handling charges exceed the face value of the check.)

Bulletin Board Continued from page 10

#### **Indexed Bibliography Available**

A computer-sorted, indexed bibliography of about 2,000 entries is available from the editor. Author, journal or book, year, and up to four index words are stuffed into an 80-column field, thus rendering some of the entries very cryptic but usually decipherable. A great many of the studies were indexed by the title alone. Much of the content is dictated by my own ideosyncratic interests and tastes, and in general it was not prepared for public consumption. The vast majority of the works have already been noted in the *Newsletters*' "Current Literature" section. No money-back guarantees, and very little support for the user will be provided.

If you still want a copy, sorted alphabetically by author and by each index term, send \$8.00 to your *Newsletter* editor, and he will eventually respond. The money will buy computer time, stamps, a few diskettes for consultant Jim Mertz, rice cakes for long-suffering Sharon Cates, and a beer for me. (Is this really how you want to waste your money?) Non-U.S. funds should be managable without a service charge. If you are interested in purchasing the entire file on tape, set up for DEC Datatrieve, let me know. Presumably, you could then add your own entries and continue to update it.

#### Membership/Newsletter Subscription

Membership in the International Society for Human Ethology is available for U.S. \$10.00 (\$5.00 for students) through the *Newsletter* editor. The newsletter is provided free to members and is sent via air mail to overseas members. Membership is for the calendar year.

Current members can be aware that they should renew when the date on the mailing label is earlier than the current year.

#### **By-Laws**

A draft version of the by-laws for the Society is now under consideration by the officers and most recent board members. Also under study is the office of vice president.

## Happy New Year!

Robert M. Adams Department of Psychology Eastern Kentucky University Richmond, KY 40475

